



A Perspective on AI and Security

Ravi Sandhu Ram Krishnan

Cisco Research Generative AI and Security Summit October 3, 2023

















AI Attackers vs AI Defenders

Only Hope









Al Attackers vs Al Defenders

Only Hope

Traditional Position: attackers have asymmetric advantage Fundamental Challenge: how to flip the asymmetric advantage





Asymmetric Attacker Advantage



Traditional argument:

Attackers need to exploit ONE vulnerability Defenders need to defend ALL weaknesses including ZERO DAY ones





Asymmetric Attacker Advantage



Traditional argument: Attackers need to exploit ONE vulnerability Defenders need to defend ALL weaknesses including ZERO DAY ones

Modern AI argument: Good AI is about good DATA and good TRAINING

Assumption: TRAINING is symmetric and confers no benefit to either side (beyond the traditional asymmetry argument)

Corollary: Asymmetry impact will flow from good DATA









Al Attackers vs Al Defenders Only Hope

Traditional Position: attackers have asymmetric advantage Fundamental Challenge: how to flip the asymmetric advantage

Corollary: Cannot flip without good DATA for AI Defenders





The Good Data Recognition Problem



- First we have the defender's data-poverty problem
- But even with data-abundance we will have the good-data-recognition problem





The Good Data Recognition Problem



- First we have the defender's data-poverty problem
- But even with data-abundance we will have the good-data-recognition problem

Brandolini's law (BS asymmetry principle, 2013):

The amount of energy needed to refute BS is an order of magnitude bigger than that needed to produce it.





The Good Data Recognition Problem



- First we have the defender's data-poverty problem
- But even with data-abundance we will have the good-data-recognition problem

Brandolini's law (BS asymmetry principle,2013:

reject bad data The amount of energy needed to refute BS is an order of magnitude bigger than that needed to produce it. produce bad data





The Big Picture Holistic Security



RESILIENCE Assume Breach Attack Aware Measured Response

ZERO-TRUST

Beyond Static Deterministic Decisions Dynamic Score-Based Decisions Continuous Authorization Obligations: Pre, Ongoing, Post AI/AUTOMATION Machine Speed Machine Scale Smart Escalation to Stakeholders Rapid Policy Adjustment





Traditional Attack-Oblivious Multi-Layer Security









Traditional Attack-Oblivious Multi-Layer Security





Minimize repeated authentication for legitimate users

Enable one-hop lateral movement without authentication

Configure firewall rules to authorize onehop links Cache credentials to enable lateral movement without authentication





Attack-Aware Multi-Layer Security





Minimize repeated authentication for legitimate users

Enable one-hop lateral movement without authentication

Configure firewall rules to authorize one-hop links Cache credentials to enable lateral one-hop moves without authentication

Attacker somehow acquires credentials for one user account Attacker expands reach by harvesting cached credentials to move laterally





Attack-Resilient Multi-Layer Security





Minimize repeated authentication for legitimate users

Enable one-hop lateral movement without authentication

Configure firewall rules to authorize onehop links Cache credentials to enable lateral movement without authentication

Attacker somehow acquires credentials for one user account Attacker expands reach by harvesting cached credentials to move laterally

Measured response for resilience















- > Asymmetric advantage to AI defenders requires solving:
 - The data-poverty problem
 - The good-data-recognition problem (even with data-abundance)
- We lack a scientific discipline to engineer multi-layer attack-resilient cyber systems

